

ROYAL COURT 176  
(Samedi Division)

2nd September, 1994

Before: The Bailiff, and  
Jurats Bonn and Le Ruez

---

The Attorney General

- v -

Angharrad Michaela Garnham  
(the first accused)  
and  
Rosalie June Garnham  
(the second accused)

---

Bail application by the second accused following guilty pleas and remand to Superior Number for sentencing on:

2 counts of      being knowingly concerned in the fraudulent evasion of the prohibition on importation of a controlled drug, contrary to Article 77(b) of the Customs and Excise (General Provisions) (Jersey) Law, 1972. (Count 1 of the indictment: M.D.M.A.; count 2: amphetamine sulphate).

(The first accused was given a bail option; the second accused was remanded in custody).

---

S.C.K. Pallot, Esq., Crown Advocate.  
Advocate S.J. Crane for the first accused..  
Advocate Mrs. S.A. Pearmain for the second accused.

---

JUDGMENT

**THE BAILIFF:** Mrs. Pearmain, you have said all you can on behalf of your client, but it seems to this Court, unless something comes up of an exceptional nature at the time of the sentencing on 15th September, that your client is facing a prison sentence.

Having regard to the matters set out by the Crown Advocate and how the offence was committed we cannot think this is an appropriate case for bail. You are therefore remanded in custody to receive sentence on 15th September.

No Authorities.