The Marquis of Hamilton against The Laird of Skermurly.

THE servitude of the fee of a crownership sustained upon an anterior infeftment of that office, with fees and continual possession of such a particular fee; albeit the same was not expressed in the infeftment.

Page 307.

The Sheriff of Galloway against The Tenants of Salset.

Page 310.

CROWNER HENDERSON'S CHILDREN Competing.

The Lords would not sustain Crowner Henderson's testament, made after the form used in the Low Countries, wherein he made all his children, sons and daughters, heirs-portioners; as being made contrary to our laws, making the eldest son to succeed to all lands, heritable bonds, and annuals.

Page 337.

1469. May 31. NICOL FORMAN of HUTTON against George Ker of Samuelston.

In those days all actions of spoliation, intrusion, and others of that nature, were precognosced by an inquest of twelve men, best knowing the land, whose declaration being presented to the judges thereafter, they used to determine, as they did in the action betwixt Nicol Forman of Hutton against George Ker of Samuelston, anent the occupation of certain lands which the said Nicol alleged to belong to him in property. The parties, of their own consent, named twelve gentlemen there present, to inform and give counsel to the Lords in the said matter; who being sworn, &c. and removed, returning, gave their deliverance this way:—" We decree and deliver, after our knowledge and understanding, that in no time bygone we heard ever that the Laird of Samuelston had possession of the said lands into mannor, pasture, &c. or possessed before the last year; and