1620. July 26.

Jo. Hamilton against Lady Pittenweem.

Jo. Hamilton of Langhirdinston, purusing for registration of a bond, whereby many gentlemen of the of , were bound to restore
to the Lady Pittenweem a gold chemzie, or the price of 2000 merks; it was
excepted, That, albeit the bond was so conceived, yet the chenzie was in effect
borrowed to lay in pledge to the Earl of Caithness for sixteen chalders of meal,
which being thereafter paid, the chenzie was delivered back to the said Lady
Pittenweem, which they offered to prove by famous witnesses, which was found
relevant by the Lord Chancellor, Carnegie, advocate, Lauderdale Clerk of Register, and most part of the rest, upon pretext, that lately the payment of
sixteen chalders of victual was sustained to be proven by witnesses, in contentation of a bond given to that effect betwixt Sir C. Home of Manderston and
Sir John of Huttonhall. This was done 26th July 1620. My Lord of Craigton and I, with a few others, were of the contrary opinion.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 224. Haddington, MS. No 2592.

1622. November 15.

and the state of t

M'GILL against FORRET.

No 136,

No 135.
Found in con-

formity with the above.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 223. Haddington, MS. No 2668.

1623. March 29.

L. Semple against Somerville.

The charger, by his ticket in May 1622, subscribed with his hand, continued the principal sum until Whitsunday 1625, being 1110 merks, the suspender paying termly the annualrent. In the mean time, he offers to prove, by witnesses exceptione majores, payment, and real numeration of the annual of the bygone terms. Finds the reasons relevant to be proved by witnesses exceptione majores. Nota, The ticket bears only principal and expenses.

No 137 Payment of annualrents probable by witnesses.

Clerk, Durie.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 223. Nicolson, MS. No 464. p. 321.

-68 L 2

1