1627. February 14. WILLIAM DOUGLAS against The Parishioners of Hay-

Warning made at Coldingham, whereof the kirk of Haymouth is a pendicle, was sustained by the Lords, although the warning was not made at Haymouth, notwithstanding the kirk of Haymouth was erected by the king into a parish kirk, and the same was planted with a minister, and the Word and sacraments had been used there divers years before the warning; because no new parish kirk can be erected but by Act of Parliament.

Page 116.

## 1627. February 16. Lord Sempell against Gavin Blair.

Lord Sempell pursues Gavin Blair for some wax and paper, as the blench duty contained in the defender's charter, for the space of divers years that the same had been unpaid. The defender excepted, that, by the clause "si petatur tantum," he was free of bygones. The Lords found the exception relevant, and ordained the pursuer to require yearly at the term, conform to the words of the charter.

Page 26.

## 1627. February 16. LAWSON'S EXECUTORS against KILLEWS.

The executors of umquhill Alexander Lawson in London, pursue Killews, as debtor to the defunct, and produce the extract of his confirmed testament after the English form, which contains no inventory. The Lords ordained the pursuer to find caution to warrant the defenders at all hands, or to prove that the extract produced was according to the form of England.

Page 238.

## 1627. February 24. Kernockis against Knows.

An heritable bond may be pursued, either against the heir or executor of the debtor, at the option of the creditor.

Page 15.

## 1627. February 24. James Mowat against Davidsone.

An action of ejection restricted to the ordinary duties, and possession sus-

tained by the Lords, notwithstanding of the act of prescription, after three years.

Page 68.

1627. February 24. The Laird of Innerleith against Lord Halyrude-House.

The Laird of Innerleith craved a protestation against the Lord Halyrudehouse, upon the act of contumacy; which the Lords would not sustain, but ordained a copy of the principal summons to be produced.

Page 161.

1627. March 2. Thomas Patoune against Margaret Patoune.

THE Lords' decreet, given for not compearance, and without any probation used, found null; at the least, not respected by way of exception.

Page 55.

1627. March 3. Agnes Setton against Bowmaker.

A DONATAR to a liferent seeks a general declarator. Compears a creditor to stay the declarator, who had comprised the lands, after the rebellion, for a debt preceding the same. The Lords ordain him to propone all the defences against the special declarator; but repelled them hoc loco.—Vide Redemption and Removings.

Page 49.

1627. March 8. WILLIAMSON against Collingtoun.

A CAUTIONER having paid the debt, cannot charge the debtor at the creditor's instance, without assignation and discharge.

The Laird of Collingtoun becomes cautioner in a testament, wherein three minors are confirmed executors; in the which testament, two curators are nominated to the minors by the defunct. The curators give up inventory, and find Collingtoun cautioner, that the goods and gear given up in the inventory should be made forthcoming to all parties having interest. The gear is dilapidated, and the curators become non solvendo;—the minors, coming to perfect age, pursue Collingtoun, cautioner, for the gear. The Lords assoilyie Collingtoun from the pursuit at the executor's instance; because they were the persons who should have relieved him of his cautionary.

Page 24.

1627. March 16. John Inglis against Gilbert Kirkwood.

An action of spuilyiation of teinds, restricted to wrongous intromission, sustained against the master as well as against the tenants, both stock and teind, and had intromitted with the duties.

Page 216.