## 1629. February 11. Fraser of Technure, Petitioner.

Fraser of Techmure's mother comprised certain lands pertaining to the Laird of Philorth, and, before the comprising is allowed by the Lords, she deceases. Techmure serves himself heir to his mother, and gives in a bill, desiring the Lords to allow the comprising, that he might get letters to charge the superior to receive him as heir to his mother. The Lords grant the desire of the bill, although some thought it was requisite he should have sought first a decreet of transferring of the comprising, in his person, as heir, and, to that effect, to have summoned the Laird of Philorth.

Page 36.

1629.

## 1629. February 11. LAIRD of LAMINGTOUN against CAPTAIN CRAWFORD.

AFTER a cause is reasoned in the Inner-house, if the party pursuer will not insist, a protestation should be granted to the defender, and the extract of the interlocutor.

Page 161.

## 1629. February 12. Fraser against Doctor.

A DECREET of spuilyie of a horse, before the sheriff of Forres, not respected, because the probation is not found clear; but the party reponed to his defences.

Page 57.

## 1629. February 12. Lord Yester's Tenant against Lord Yester.

The Lord Yester having obtained decreet against one of his tenants, for payment of a greater duty nor the tenant was in use to pay for that roum before, upon a new condition made by the said tenant, to the said Lord, which being referred to the tenant's oath, he was holden pro confesso. This decreet is sought to be reduced at the said tenant's instance, and he reponed to give his oath; because, it was alleged, That the Lord Yester's procurators had stolen through this decreet against the tenant, having promised not to call the

said action till they made the tenant's procurators foreseen thereof; whereupon the advocate, being examined ex officio, the Lord Yester's procurator's man deponed, That once he made a promise; but the decreet was obtained more nor two years thereafter. The Lords would not repone the defender to his oath, but ordained the Lord Yester to give his oath upon the condition alleged by him.

Page 57.

1629. February 13.

THE father's deed does not infer contravention against the son.

Page 31.

1629. February 14. ROBERT FARQUHAR against WALLACE.

A PARTY being pursued for exhibition of a bond made to him that pursues for the same, who alleges, in his summons, that he delivered the said bond to the defender;—it was answered, That this delivery cannot be proven but scripto vel juramento partis. The pursuer replies, That the delivery of a writ may as well be proven by witnesses, as the having of the same. The Lords sustained the probation prout de jure.

Page 70.

1629. February 14. Grant against Balvenie.

THE receiving of feu-duties, or accepting of a resignation from a person that was not infeft himself, cannot compel the superior to receive or enter one of his vassals, that had comprised the land from him that had been in use to pay the feu-duty of the land, and who had resigned his right in the superior's hands, but had never been infeft.

Page 224.

1629. February 15. against — against

The young Lady Hallyburtoune having appointed 500 merks termly for her ali-N n