

1666. December 12. THOMSON *against* STEVENSON

No 486.

A DISPOSITION by a minor who had no curators, bearing a price paid, found not probative against him of the onerous cause.

*Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 257. Dirleton.*

\* \* \* This case is No 104. p. 8982. *voce* MINOR.

1672. February 24. CORSER *against* DEANS.

No 487.

A BOND null wanting the curator's consent, was not found supported by the minor's acknowledgement in the bond, that the money was *in rem versum* of himself, which is no sufficient proof.

*Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 257. Stair.*

\* \* \* This case is No 60. p. 8944. *voce* MINOR.

1672. February 27. A. *against* B.

No 488.

A DISPOSITION made by a person interdicted without consent of the interdictors, was allowed to be supported by a proof, that the price was applied *in rem versum*.

*Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 256. Gosford.*

\* \* \* This case is No 24. p. 7149. *voce* INTERDICTION.

1681. June 21. COUTS *against* STRAITON.

No 489.

A WRIT granted by a person who was blind, being challenged as not probative, he not being capable to know to what he set his name; the objection was repelled, in respect that a blind person has the same access to be informed, which they have who see and cannot read.

*Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 257. Stair.*

\* \* \* This case is No 12. p. 6842, *voce* INDIVISIBLE.