

No 10.
 liferent, and
 his children
 in fee. There
 was a *pluris*
petitio of a
 small sum of
 annualrent.
 The apprising
 found null, as
 to the life-
 rent; but va-
 lid, as to the
 fee.

pounds of annualrents apprifed for, had been paid before; *2do*, Annualrents are accumulated at 8 *per cent.* after they had been lowered to 6 *per cent.*

Answered: imo, The wrong casting up and accumulating of the annualrent, was an error *in facto*, falling under the clause, *salvo justo calculo*; *2do*, The mistake was only chargeable upon the father, who led the apprising; and so could only be a ground to annul the same, *quoad* his liferent, but prejudice to the childrens fee.

THE LORDS found the apprising simply null, *quoad* the father's liferent; and would not so much as sustain it, for a security, of the principal sum and annualrents, without accumulation; but found it to subsist entire, as to the fee belonging to the children; yet they declared, that if the children obtrude the apprising, as expired, they would consider, if such a probable objection of nullity, should not purge the negligence, in not using an order within the legal.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 8. Harcarfe, (COMPRISING.) No 290. p. 68.

1685. February.

LADY HISLESIDE *against* MATHEW BAILLIE.

No 11.
 An apprising
 led by an af-
 fignee, re-
 stricted, on
 account of
 payments
 made to the
 cedent.

FOUND, That an apprising, led by an assignee, for a sum, whereof the cedent had recovered partial payment, should be restricted to the principal sum, current annualrents, and necessary expence, without accumulation of annualrents and penalties.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 7. Harcarfe, (COMPRISING.) No 312. p. 76.

1685. March.

MARGARET CRAWFORD *against* OLIPHANT of Condy.

No 12.
 A widow,
 who had right
 to the fee of a
 sum, leads an
 apprising, in-
 cluding some
 annualrents,
 which were
in bonus of her
 deceased hus-
 band. This
 occasioned the
 apprising, to
 be found in-
 capable of ex-
 piring. She
 had not de-
 ducted some
 partial pay-
 ments, made
 to an affig-
 nee; on this
 account, the
 apprising re-
 stricted to a
 security.

AN apprising, led at the instance of a wife, who had right to the fee of the sum apprifed for, being quarrelled as null, because she had apprifed for the by-gone annualrents, which were *in bonus* of her deceased husband; and *2do*, She having formerly assigned the debt, before she was retroceded, the assignee had uplifted some part of the sum.

Answered: imo, The husband's representatives, claim no interest in the by-gone annualrents; and the wife would fall to a greater share by the husband's testament; *2do*, The creditor in the apprising, is willing to restrict to the sum uplifted.

THE LORDS found it relevant, to make the apprising current, but not to prejudice accumulations, &c.: That the annualrents apprifed for, were *in bonus defuncti*; but found the second allegiance, viz. That the assignee had uplifted a part of the annualrent apprifed for, before the compriser was retroceded, relevant to take off the accumulations, and to make the apprising subsist, only as a security, for principal sum, current annualrents, and necessary expences.

Harcarfe, (COMPRISING.) No 311. p. 76.