1687. February. John Chancellour against Major Baitman.

Provost Drummond having disponed his goods to Bailie Hamilton, after he had been charged with horning by Major Baitman; John Chancellour and others arrested, afterwards, the goods in the common debtor's shop, and in Hamilton's hands; and thereafter Baitman arrested also. Chancellour claimed preference, as being the first arrester. Alleged for Baitman, That the disposition was preferable to the arrestment used by Chancellour; which disposition, though reduced upon the act 1621, as in fraudem of Baitman's anterior charge, excludes all posterior diligences. Answered, That Baitman could found on the disposition, which is not in favours, and Bailie Hamilton does not oppose John Chancellour; so that his diligence of arrestment must be considered as if the disposition were passed from. The Lords preferred Baitman, unless Chancellour had grounds sufficient to reduce the said disposition.—February 1687. Thereafter this interlocutor was stopped. Vide No. 153, [Laurence Gellaty against Stuart, 3d February 1688;] and No. 439, [James Room against Robert Cleland, December 1687.]

Page 17, No. 91.

1687. February. Alexander Turpie against James Archibald.

Found that a sum assigned in trust fell not under the cedent's bona at his decease, the assignation being intimated in his lifetime.

Page 24, No. 121.

1687. February. John Ogilvy against Brown.

An assignation, not intimated, sustained as a sufficient title to pursue reduction, ex capite inhibitionis, raised on the bond assigned, without necessity of a licence; but that the assignee should confirm before extracting.

Page 24, No. 120.

1687. February. LAW against ROBERT CURRIE.

Debated, If a debtor's being witness in an assignation to the debt be not an intimation quoad him, so as to hinder the subject assigned to fall in bonis of the cedent; but heritable bonds need no confirmation, though not intimated.

Page 24, No. 123.

1687. February. DAVID OSWALD against SOMERVEL and BOYD.

Found that a disposition, with warrandice, by a husband to his wife, inter