## BLANK WRIT.

## 1742. December 21. CAIRNS against CAIRNS.

A bond secluding executors, but containing a substitution, and the substitute's name appearing to have been originally blank, and afterwards filled up with a different hand in the creditor's second son's name, who died before his father; found that the substitution must be held as still blank, though the bond was before the act 1696 against blank writs. (See Dict. No. 17. p. 1673.)

No. 1

1749. February 10. Mr WILLIAM DONALDSON of Murrach against James Donaldson.

A DISPOSITION of lands, about 500 merks rent, by a father to his second Disposition subson in 1716 was found null, for that it appeared to have been written blank scribed in blank in the disponee's name, which was filled up by a different hand, and in two places the name still remained blank; which we found presumed that it was subscribed blank, unless the defender would prove that it was filled up before subscribing, or before the same witnesses that witnessed the deed; and that in a process at the instance of the eldest son against the infant heir of the second son; notwithstanding that to occular inspection the disponee's name was filled up with the disponer's own hand; that the disponee, when in the Indies, was infeft upon it in December 1721 by the father; that the father homologated it by several deeds, particularly a bond of provision in

No. 2. found null.