

No 429. of a foreign Court brought here for execution. We ought to rely upon it as good evidence, unless the contrary be proved, which is not attempted.

“It carried by a great plurality to sustain the claim.”

Sel. Dec. No 253. p. 326.

1768. February 6.

No 430.

Mr DAVID DICKSON *against* HERITORS of NEULANDS.

A MINISTER being deposed by his Presbytery for irregularities, pursued the Heritors, who refused to pay him his stipend, and *urged*, That the sentence of deposition not being signed by the Moderator of the Presbytery, or any of the members, was void, in terms of act of Parliament 1686, cap. 3.—*Answered*, The act relates to civil, not ecclesiastical judicatories.—THE LORDS found the extract of the sentence, under the hand of the Presbytery Clerk, was not proper evidence of the deposition.

Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 165. Fac. Col.

*** This case is No 184. p. 7464. *voce* JURISDICTION.

S E C T. V.

Extract.

1622. November 27. EARL MARR *against* LORD ELPHINSTON.

No 431.

A WRIT lying in the King's register, though it bear not registration, may be extracted by the Clerk-register, and a copy thereof subscribed will make as great faith as the principal, except in improbations.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 250. Haddington.

*** This case is No 80. p. 2218. *voce* CITATION.

1627. July 17. KER *against* The MINISTER of ANCRUM.

No 432.
An extract of
a comprising

IN a spuilzie at the instance of Sir Robert Ker against the Minister of Ancrum, a comprising produced of the tack of the teinds of that parish being