to heritable creditors. The case is different as to adjudgers; they are not on the same footing with Mr Armstrong, selling for a price. So it was decided in the case Gib against Williamson, on a hearing in presence. I would not vary that judgment: other cases have been determined on the same principle.

Monbodo. An heritable bond is good, because it is the price of the estate:

the adjudger seeks to mend his former security.

On the 16th November 1786, "The Lords found that the allegation of fraud is not relevant against the heritable creditor, but found that it is competent against adjudgers, and remitted to the Ordinary to proceed accordingly;" adhering, in substance, to the interlocutor of Lord Swinton.

Act. R. Dundas, Alt. Alex. Abercrombie.

1786. November 16. LILIAS BALD and HUSBAND against JEAN BUCHANAN.

CONSOLIDATION—SUPERIOR AND VASSAL.

Of property and superiority not effected ipso jure, or without resignation ad remanentiam: Whether a conveyance of property, along with superiority, granted by the superior who had only the dominium directum, and accepted by the vassal, be valid as to all other parties?

[Faculty Collection, IX. 408; Dictionary, 15,084.]

PRESIDENT. A resignation ad remanentiam is necessary, in order to consolidate property with superiority. This is agreeable to feudal principles, and the opinion of the elder lawyers. If any errors have been committed in practice, these must be avoided hereafter.

Braxfield proposed that the ratio decidendi should be mentioned in the in

terlocutor.

Monbodoo. The judgment of Craig is express on this point.

Eskgrove. Craig speaks only of a resignation in favorem, and not of re-

signation ad remanentiam.

On the 16th November 1786, "The Lords found that the superiority of Wester Common, vested in the person of William Buchanan, under the infeftment 1707, and that the property vested in his person under the infeftment 1731, remained separate and distinct estates; and therefore, that the property could not be carried by the special service and infeftment, which was afterwards expede in the person of Archibald the second, in respect that no resignation ad remanentiam, consolidating the property with the superiority, had been expede in the person of the said William; therefore repelled the plea of consolidation, and also of prescription and confirmation, and other defences, and sustained the reasons of reduction, so far as respects the lands contained in the contract of marriage 1730."

Act. Matt. Ross. Alt. Ilay Campbell. Reporter, Justice-Clerk.

Diss. Eskgrove. Non liquet, Rockville.