Case No: 2206864/2022



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

Claimant: Ms. Silvia Gurakuqi

Respondents: (1) Project FZ Ltd.

(2) Seasondream PLC

Hearing: Final Merits Hearing

Heard at: London Central ET (via video/CVP)

On: 2 May 2023

Before: Employment Judge Tinnion

Appearances: For Claimant: In person

For Respondents: No attendance/representation

JUDGMENT

- 1. The 2nd Respondent's name is amended to its legal name of Seasondream PLC.
- 2. The Claimant's claim against the 2nd Respondent is not well founded and dismissed.
- 3. During the period 25 March 2021 4 May 2022, the Claimant's employer was Project FZ Ltd.
- 4. The Claimant's claim against Project FZ Ltd. under s.13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 for unauthorised deductions from wages is well founded.
- 5. Project FZ Ltd. shall pay the Claimant the total sum of £1,236.48, consisting of the following:
 - a. £747.43 in respect of unpaid wages (£109/week statutory sick pay) for period 18 March 2022 – 4 May 2022 (6 weeks, 6 days) when Claimant absent from work on sick leave:
 - b. £489.05 in respect of unpaid wages in respect of 5 days annual leave accrued but untaken as of 4 May 2022 (5 days x £97.81 (net)/day).

6. The Tribunal makes a declaration under s.12(3)(a) of the Employment Rights Act 1996 that Project FZ Ltd has failed to give the Claimant pay statements in

1 of 2

Case No: 2206864/2022

accordance with s.8 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 in respect of the periods referred to immediately above.

- 7. The Tribunal has no jurisdiction to consider the Claimant's following complaints:
 - a. Project FZ Ltd. never paid Claimant's NI and income tax contributions/ deductions to HMRC;
 - b. Claimant's "pension scheme has been regularly deducted but they did not pay to NEST pension".
- 8. The Claimant confirmed she was content to proceed without an Italian translator.

NOTE

- 1. Reasons for the decision having been given orally at the 2 May 2023 hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless requested within 14 days of the date the judgment is sent to the party requesting them. In default of a timely request for written reasons, written reasons will not be provided unless requested by the Employment Appeal Tribunal, by a court, or by another legal body of competent jurisdiction.
- 2. Judgments are published online after they have been sent to the parties.

Signed (electronically): Employment Judge Tinnion

Date of signature: 2 May 2023

Date sent to parties: 03 __ May 2023