

In the Privy Council.

No. 67 of 1896.

Att. Edward Blake L^o

~~31,1897~~

35,1897

ON APPEAL

FROM THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH FOR LOWER
CANADA, IN THE PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (APPEAL
SIDE).

BETWEEN

DAME CHARLOTTE DE HERTEL, *es qual.* . Appellant,

AND

DAME EMILY C. GODDARD, *et al., es qual.* Respondents.

CASE FOR THE APPELLANT.
CASE FOR THE RESPONDENTS.
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.

S. V. BLAKE,

17, Victoria Street, S.W.,

for the Appellant.

BARNES & BERNARD,

11, Finsbury Circus, E.C.,

for the Respondents.

August
to Mr. Nathan. Aug 31/97

Not necessary to deal
with question of un-
. limited degrees.

apparently only
1 degree between May, Roe
& Roe.

There is no introduction,
& by debar a necessary
complicated, of surviving.

There are 2 roads.
The evil road is not necessarily
to be followed.
The other do cause a halt;
but the law provides § 936/
for the contingency.
"Company" is not used
∴ all equal shares & votes.
Appeal dismissed with
costs.